BONTAN CORPORATION INC.

47 Avenue Road, Suite 200 Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5R 2G3

T: 416-929-1806 F: 416-361-6228

W: www.bontancorporation.com

August 10, 2005

Jill S. Davis
Branch Chief
US Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C 20549-7410, USA

By fax sent to Jennifer Goeken – Division of Corporate Finance

Dear Jill S. Davis:

RE: BONTAN CORPORATION INC. – Form 20-F for fiscal year ended March 31, 2004 filed on August 30, 2004 (file no. 030314)

In connection with your review of our above statements, we acknowledge as follows:

- 1. The Company is responsible for the adequacy and accuracy of the disclosure in the filing.
- 2. Staff comments or changes to disclosure in response to staff comments do not foreclose the Commission from taking any action with respect to the filing: and
- 3. The Company may not assert staff comments as a defense in any proceeding initiated by the Commission or any person under the federal securities laws of the United States.

Sincerely,

Is/Kam Shah

Chief Executive Officer

BONTAN CORPORATION INC.

47 Avenue Road, Suite 200 Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5R 2G3

T: 416-929-1806 F: 416-361-6228

W: www.bontancorporation.com

August 10, 2005

Jill S. Davis Branch Chief US Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, NE Washington, D.C. 20549-7410 USA

By fax sent to Jennifer Goeken – Division of Corporate Finance Dear Jill S. Davis:

RE: BONTAN CORPORATION INC. – Form 20-F for fiscal year ended March 31, 2004 filed on August 30, 2004 (file no. 030314)

We refer to your letter of August 2, 2005 providing comments on our company's Form 20-F as above.

We attach a table showing our responses to your comments in the same order.

We will file an amended form 20-F on EDGAR once all your comments are cleared.

We also enclose an acknowledgement statement as required.

We believe that the technical matters that are discussed in the enclosed responses would not result in any major misstatement or misinterpretation of the information included in the fiscal 2004 financials and Form 20-F. We therefore urge that no restatement of fiscal 2004 financials is necessary at this point.

Please contact the undersigned for any further information in the matter.

Sincerely,

Is/Kam Shah

Chief Executive Officer

BONTAN CORPORATION INC.
Form 20-F for fiscal 2004
Responses to the comments in the SEC letter dated August 2, 2005
File No. 0-30314
Attachment to our letter of August 10, 2005

SEC Comment No.	Bontan Responses – Changes in bold	Where to find
	I	
	Auditors report covering the fiscal years 2003 and 2002 is included in the amended 20-F	Revised 20-F
	Net loss per share disclosure was revised as required in fiscal 2005 financials. In our opinion presentation of net loss and of number of shares pre-split appearing in the consolidated statement of operation and consolidated statement of shareholders equity	

	respectively is sufficiently explained so as to avoid any misrepresentation. We therefore request that no amendment be made in the financials included in 20-F given that information is now dated and amended information would not add significant value to the usefulness of the financials for investors.	
3	Presenting a sub-total that includes net loss and after items not affecting cash is common practice in Canadian financial reporting. Please see examples of cash flow statement extracted from the filings of two of the prominent Canadian companies – Magna International Inc. and Dofasco Inc., which substantiate our statement. Further, CICA's Guide to Canadian Financial Reporting (Paragraph 12-103) provides an example of a cash flow statement with a sub-total including net income and items not affecting cash. We therefore request that no further amendment to the financials be required in respect of this matter.	Copies of consolidated statements of cash flow re: Magna International Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2004 and of Dofasco Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2004 (enclosures 1 and 2)
4	Wordings have been revised in fiscal 2005 financials. Since no stock options were granted in fiscal 2004, 2003, and 2002, we believe that the note as it appears in fiscal 2004 financials included in 20-F would not result in any misrepresentation or errors in the reported information and request that no further amendment to the financials be required in respect of this matter.	
5	Amount due from the director comprised a personal loan taken by Kam Shah, then the Chief Financial Officer on February 4, 2004. The Chief Executive Officer approved the loan. The loan was payable on demand and carried no interest and was fully settled on March 31, 2005.	
6	Required reconciliation of the advance account is enclosed with this response.	Enclosure 3

BONTAN CORPORATIO INC. Form 20-F for fiscal 2004

Response to the comment no. 6 in the SEC letter dated August 2, 2005 File No. 0-30314

On July 9, 2004, the Company converted its advances given to a non-related entity for the purpose of acquiring an indirect participation interest in an oil exploration project of Papua New Guinea (a) 15,262 shares of Interoil Corporation and (b) the balance into .75% indirect participation interest in the said project as per the terms of the IPI agreement.

Advance				Shares in	Total
	in Papua New Guinea	in Louisiana	advances/investments	Interoil	
2,530,353	-	-	2,530,353		2,530,353
(2,530,353)	2,161,986		(368,367)	368,367	-
		204,600	204,600		204,600
-	-	-	-	(90,771)	(90,771)
	2,530,353	in Papua New Guinea 2,530,353	in Papua New Guinea in Louisiana 2,530,353 (2,530,353) 2,161,986	in Papua New Guinea in Louisiana advances/investments 2,530,353 - 2,530,353 (2,530,353) 2,161,986 (368,367)	in Papua New Guinea in Louisiana advances/investments Interoil 2,530,353 - - 2,530,353 (2,530,353) 2,161,986 (368,367) 368,367

Balance as at December 31, 2004	\$-	\$ 2.161.986	\$204.600	\$2,366,586	\$277.596	\$2,644,182

Endnotes

Enclosure 3 to our responses

Bontan Corporation Inc. Attachment to our letter of Form 20-F for fiscal 2004 & 2005 November 29, 2005 Responses to the comments in the SEC letter dated November 16, 2005 File # 0-30314

SEC	Bontan responses - changes in bold	Where to find
Comment #		

your ready reference. A. F-20 for 2004 It appears that an amended F-20 incorporating the audit report for 2003 was not filed although reported as such in our letter of September 9, 2005. We sincerely regret the error. We now file amended 20-F for 2004 adding the auditors report for 2003 as explained in item 4 of our	Revised 20-F for 2005 filed on EDGAR Revised 20-F for 2004
attachments. b. Our letter of September 9, 2005 together with attachments c. Our letter of November 29 together with the attachments. Your comments noted. Revised as suggested. Corrected page enclosed for your ready reference. A. F-20 for 2004 It appears that an amended F-20 incorporating the audit report for 2003 was not filed although reported as such in our letter of September 9, 2005. We sincerely regret the error. We now file amended 20-F for 2004 adding the auditors report for 2003 as explained in item 4 of our	Revised 20-F for 2005 filed on EDGAR
 b. Our letter of September 9, 2005 together with attachments c. Our letter of November 29 together with the attachments. Your comments noted. Revised as suggested. Corrected page enclosed for your ready reference. A. F-20 for 2004 It appears that an amended F-20 incorporating the audit report for 2003 was not filed although reported as such in our letter of September 9, 2005. We sincerely regret the error. We now file amended 20-F for 2004 adding the auditors report for 2003 as explained in item 4 of our 	EDGAR
c. Our letter of November 29 together with the attachments. Your comments noted. Revised as suggested. Corrected page enclosed for your ready reference. A. F-20 for 2004 It appears that an amended F-20 incorporating the audit report for 2003 was not filed although reported as such in our letter of September 9, 2005. We sincerely regret the error. We now file amended 20-F for 2004 adding the auditors report for 2003 as explained in item 4 of our	EDGAR
Revised as suggested Corrected page enclosed for your ready reference. A. F-20 for 2004 It appears that an amended F-20 incorporating the audit report for 2003 was not filed although reported as such in our letter of September 9, 2005. We sincerely regret the error. We now file amended 20-F for 2004 adding the auditors report for 2003 as explained in item 4 of our	EDGAR
your ready reference. A. F-20 for 2004 It appears that an amended F-20 incorporating the audit report for 2003 was not filed although reported as such in our letter of September 9, 2005. We sincerely regret the error. We now file amended 20-F for 2004 adding the auditors report for 2003 as explained in item 4 of our	EDGAR
A. F-20 for 2004 It appears that an amended F-20 incorporating the audit report for 2003 was not filed although reported as such in our letter of September 9, 2005. We sincerely regret the error. We now file amended 20-F for 2004 adding the auditors report for 2003 as explained in item 4 of our	Revised 20-F for 2004
audit report for 2003 was not filed although reported as such in our letter of September 9, 2005. We sincerely regret the error. We now file amended 20-F for 2004 adding the auditors report for 2003 as explained in item 4 of our	Revised 20-F for 2004
auditors report for 2003 as explained in item 4 of our	Revised 20-F 101 2004
B. F-20 for 2005	
Changes similar to the ones made for 2004 as explained above have now been made and an ameded F-20 for fiscal 2005 is filed.	Revised 20-F for 2005
We followed the guidance provided in CICA 3870 and deferred stock compensation to the extent it related to the future services.	
Since the IPI interest was meeting all the six criteria outlined in CICA 3475.08, it was considered and reclassified as current asset (i.e. "held for sale") as at March 31, 2005.	
Correct amount for Canada under geographic location	
details should have been \$2,696,604 instead of \$2,464,594. The correct Canada amount would then result in total of asset under this note to be equal to the balance sheet total assets.	
This appears to be a typo error remained undetected during revisions to the financials. We believe that the error would not make the financials significantly	
inconvenience of revising financials would greatly outweigh the benefits and therefore request that it be ignored.	
IPI Interest in oil project was originally intended to be held as Interest in oil and gas properties as a long-term asset. This would subject it to the provisions of SFAS 19 under the US GAAP.	
However, by the year-end, the Company decided to sell the interest and accordingly, it was classified in the balance sheet as a current asset "available for sale" and its fair value was not considered to be materially different from the carrying value.	
	Changes similar to the ones made for 2004 as explained above have now been made and an ameded F-20 for fiscal 2005 is filed. We followed the guidance provided in CICA 3870 and deferred stock compensation to the extent it related to the future services. Since the IPI interest was meeting all the six criteria outlined in CICA 3475.08, it was considered and reclassified as current asset (i.e. "held for sale") as at March 31, 2005. Correct amount for Canada under geographic location details should have been \$2,696,604 instead of \$2,464,594. The correct Canada amount would then result in total of asset under this note to be equal to the balance sheet total assets. This appears to be a typo error remained undetected during revisions to the financials. We believe that the error would not make the financials significantly inaccurate. At this stage, we submit that the inconvenience of revising financials would greatly outweigh the benefits and therefore request that it be ignored. IPI Interest in oil project was originally intended to be held as Interest in oil and gas properties as a long-term asset. This would subject it to the provisions of SFAS 19 under the US GAAP. However, by the year-end, the Company decided to sell the interest and accordingly, it was classified in the balance sheet as a current asset "available for sale" and its fair value was not considered to be materially

The actual sale price negotiated and obtained in July 2005 reflected substantial value increase achieved subsequent to the balance sheet date.

Bontan Corporation Inc. 47 Avenue Road, Suite 200 Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5R 2G3

T: 416-860-0211 F: 416-361-6228

W: www.bontancorporation.com

November 29, 2005

Jill S. Davis Branch Chief US Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, NE Washington, D.C. 20549-7410 USA

By fax sent to Jennifer Goeken – Division of Corporate Finance

Dear Jill S Davis:

RE: BONTAN CORPORATION INC. – Form 20-F for fiscal year ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 amended 20-F for fiscal 2005 and our previous correspondence (file # 0-30314)

We refer to your letter of November 16, 2005.

Enclosed attachment provides our responses to your comments in the same order.

Please contact the undersigned for any further information in the matter.

Sincerely

<u>/s/Kam Shah</u> Kam Shah Chief Executive and Financial Officer